KINETIC STUDY OF UASB AND HUASB SYSTEM IN TREATING MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER

Mohd Afindy Abd Kadir^{1,a}, Ab. Aziz Abdul Latiff^{2,b} and Zawawi Daud^{3,c}

^{1,2,3}Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Department of Water & Environmental Engineering, University Tun Hussien Onn Malaysia, 86400 Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia

^aafindykadir@gmail.com ^baziz@uthm.edu.my, ^czawawi@uthm.edu.my

Keywords: UASB, HUASB, kinetics, Municipal, Monod, Grou second-order, first order

Abstract. A combined laboratory-scale system UASB-DFAF and HUASB-DFAF was operated for treating Municipal wastewater at six hydraulic retention times (HRT) of 45.08, 30.06, 22.54, 18.03, 15.03, 12.88 h. COD removal efficiency in range from 72% to 82% in UASB, while in HUASB range from 84 to 89% with decrease of HRT. There are several method have been developed to represent biodegradation of municipal sewerage in a combined treatment system. The Monod, Grou second-order and first order model have been used to analyze this studies. The combined of HUASB reactor, 5.41 L working volume, followed by DFAF reactor, having a working volume 2.67L were analyzed. The kinetic parameters were determined through line regression using experimental data. The predicted COD concentration was calculated using the kinetic constant. The kinetic models applied for this study were Grou second-order, followed by first order method and Monod method.

Introduction

Wastewater treatment using biological technology especially for up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor has been widely adopted because of easy operation and low pollution generation. A very good chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal has led to its increasing applicability in the treatment of domestic, industrial and agricultural wastewaters, [1]. Unfortunately, due to environmental issue, anaerobic reactors do not allow a nitrification process, which can be adopted with further phase of studies [2].

The improvements of UASB reactor design for treating any wastewater are now developed. Many researchers have also studied the hybrid-UASB (HUASB). HUASB reactor is a combination of up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor and anaerobic filter. The lower part of the HUASB reactor consists of inoculums as sludge to developed a granular and an upper part will fill with some material to avoid biomass wash out together with effluent. It retains the advantages of both systems and is also stable and resilient to shock loadings and combines the best features of both suspended bed and fixed film technologies into one unit, with the added benefit of methane production for reuse, [4].

However, in order to eliminate N-pollution it is necessary to introduce a denitrification phase in which products of nitrification would be converted into environmentally harmless N₂ gas. Various sources can provide carbon for denitrification, [2]. The modification of HUASB followed by DFAF-Down flow aerobic filter consist with material such as zeolite are investigated to find the process of nitrification are works in the second phase of reactor.

The aim of this paper investigated on a feasibility of UASB and HUASB system by providing some data on the kinetic aspects of this treatment.

Materials and Methods

A laboratory scale combination of two laboratory scale reactor Hybrid Up-Flow Anaerobic Sludge blanket (HUASB) with Down-Flow Aerobic filter (DFAF) are use in the experiment. There are two identical reactor UASB as R1 and HUASB as R2 were fabricated to give a working volume of 5.41L (9.0 cm diameter, 100 cm height), with six sampling ports placed at different heights. The wastewater used in this study was taken from wastewater treatment plant located in UTHM campus, Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia. All effluent samples were collected in 100 ml polyethylene bottles (PTFE) based on standard methods for examination of water and wastewater 22th edition (APHA, 2009). The wastewater was modified to increase COD value up to 1000 mg/l COD concentration by addition of 65% glucose, 25% peptone and 10% meat extract. The reactors were seeded with sludge one third of reactor collected from anaerobic pond sewerage treatment plant UTHM. Analysis of parameters such as pH, COD, TP, Ammonia Nitrogen, suspended solids (SS), and Mass liquid suspended solids (MLSS) was accomplish as per APHA standard method (APHA, 2009). Hydraulic retention time (HRT) ranging from 45 to 13 h was used under different loading rates. All experiments were performed at ambient room temperature $26 \pm 3^\circ \text{C}$. In each experimental run, performance of the reactor was evaluated under constant organic loading rate (OLR). Overall kinetic coefficients have been determined at steady-state conditions using the data sets summarized in Table 1(UASB-DFAF) and (HUASB-DFAF) in Table 2.

Table 1: Average operational parameters and steady state for R1 result during the study period

UASB					DFAF			
(OLR) (kg CODm ⁻³ d ⁻¹)	COD Influent (mgL ⁻¹)	HRT (d)	COD Effluent (UASB) (mgL ⁻¹)	COD Removal efficiency (%)	HRT (d)	COD Effluent (DFAF) (mgL ⁻¹)	COD Removal efficiency (%)	pH
0.53	1045±44	1.88	202±140	80	0.93	137±91	86.89	6.83±0.56
0.80	991±43	1.25	272±167	73	0.46	161±100	83.75	7.24±0.37
1.06	1047±61	0.94	298±154	72	0.31	162±77	84.53	7.09±0.38
1.33	1045±51	0.75	277±136	73	0.23	168±96	83.93	7.29±0.38
1.60	995±0	0.63	182±119	82	0.19	122±105	87.74	6.95±0.29
1.86	1010±0	0.54	233±130	77	0.15	131±81	87.03	7.14±0.11

Table 2: Average operational parameters and steady state for R2 result during the study period

HUASB					DFAF			
(OLR) (kg CODm ⁻³ d ⁻¹)	COD Influent (mgL ⁻¹)	HRT (d)	COD Effluent (UASB) (mgL ⁻¹)	COD Removal efficiency (%)	HRT (d)	COD Effluent (DFAF) (mgL ⁻¹)	COD Removal efficiency (%)	pH
0.53	1039±45	1.88	132±46	87	0.93	58±25	94.38	7.29±0.59
0.80	1033±39	1.25	115±19	89	0.46	43±23	95.57	7.21±0.64
1.06	962±40	0.94	159±65	84	0.31	53±28	95.11	7.49±0.19
1.33	1066±47	0.75	120±37	89	0.23	56±20	93.99	7.32±0.47
1.60	1037±48	0.63	155±63	85	0.19	47±32	95.37	7.10±0.47
1.86	1016±47	0.54	133±44	87	0.15	58±25	94.38	7.29±0.59

Applied Monod Kinetics

For UASB and HUASB reactor without biomass recycles the rate of change of biomass and substrate in the system can be expressed as equation. (1) and (2)

$$\frac{d_x}{dt} = \frac{Q.X_i}{V} - \frac{Q.X_u}{V} + \mu.X - K_d.X \quad (1)$$

$$-\frac{d_s}{d_t} = \frac{Q.S_i}{V} - \frac{Q.S_e}{V} + \frac{\mu.X}{Y} \quad (2)$$

The ratio of the total biomass in the reactor to biomass in the average time called as mean cell-residence time (Θ_c) and calculated from equation (3)

$$\Theta_c = \frac{V.X}{Q.X_e} \quad (3)$$

The relationship between specific growth rate and rate limiting substrate concentration can be expressed by Monod equation (4) as follow:

$$\mu = \frac{\mu_{\max} \cdot S_e}{K_s + S_e} \quad (4)$$

Assumins the concentration of biomass in the influent can be neglected at steady state conditions ($d_x/d_t = 0$ and $-d_s/d_t = 0$ and HRT (Θ) is defined as the volume of the reactor divided by the flow rate of influent, equation (5) and (6) are derived from substituting from equation (3) and (4) into equation (1) and (2).

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\Theta_c} + K_d \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\mu_{\max} \cdot S_e}{K_s + S_e} = \frac{1}{\Theta_c} + K_d \quad (6)$$

Kinetic parameters Y and K_d for Monod model can obtained by rearranging and linearization of the equation as shown in equation (7), [3].

$$\frac{S_i - S_e}{\Theta \cdot X} = \frac{1}{Y} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\Theta_c} \right) + \frac{1}{Y} \cdot K_d \quad (7)$$

The value of $\mu_{\max}$ and K_s could be determined by plotting Eq. (8), which was derived by rearranging Eq. (6).

$$\frac{\Theta_c}{1 + \Theta_c \cdot K_d} = \frac{K_s}{\mu_{\max}} \cdot \frac{1}{S} + \frac{1}{\mu_{\max}} \quad (8)$$

Grou second-order Model

General equation of second order kinetic model is shown in equation (9), [1].

$$-\frac{d_s}{d_t} = K_s \cdot X \cdot \left(\frac{S_e}{S_i} \right)^2 \quad (9)$$

If Eq. (9) is integrated (boundry condition: $S=S_o$ to S_e and $t = 0$ ot Θ) and then linearilized Eq. (10) will be obtained:

$$\frac{S_i \cdot \Theta}{S_i - S_e} = \Theta - \frac{S_i}{K_s \cdot X} \quad (10)$$

If the second term of the right part of equation (10) is accepted as a constant, the equation (11) will be obtained,

$$\frac{S_i \cdot \Theta}{S_i - S_e} = b \cdot \Theta + a \quad (11)$$

$(S_i - S_e)/S_i$ expresses the substrate removal efficiency and is symbolized as E . Therefore, the last equation can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\Theta}{E} = a + b \cdot \Theta \quad (12)$$

First order method

The rate of change in substrate concentration in the system with assuming the first order model for substrate removal could be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{d_s}{dt} = \frac{Q \cdot S_i}{V} - \frac{Q \cdot S_e}{V} - K \cdot S \quad (13)$$

Under steady-state conditions, the rate of change in substrate concentration ($-dS/dt$) is negligible and the equation given above can be reduced to the equation (14):

$$\frac{S_i - S_e}{\theta} = K \cdot S \quad (14)$$

Result and Discussion

In order to estimate the functional of kinetic coefficients for the model indicated, analysis has been carried out with the results obtained from the present study as well as in order to obtain overall kinetic coefficients for the models, analyses have been carried out with the results obtained from the present study at steady-state conditions (Table 1). The kinetic coefficients thus obtained from experiments on UASB and HUASB reactor under different operating conditions offer a meaningful comparison and greater insight into applicability of the kinetic models in designing UASB reactors.

Monod method

The experimental data under steady-state condition were used and kinetic parameters are evaluated using linear expressions. Six steady state were used to determine the kinetic value. Figure 1 was plotted from Eq. 7 to find the values of Y and K_d for monod, where Y and k_d were calculated from intercept and slope of the straight line. Y value is 0.00869g VSS/g COD and K_d value is 0.0037 per day. The value of μ_{max} and K_s were determined from figure 2 using Eq. 8 as 0.007 per day and 60 mg/l, respectively.

Figure 1: Determination coefficients of Y , K_d in Monod model

Figure 2: Determination of max specific growth rate (μ_{max}) and half saturation constant (K_s) for monod

Grou second-order Model & first order Model

Figure 3 shown a plotted graf for Grou second order to determined a kinetic coefficients (a , b and K_s). The value of a , b and K_s calculated from the intercept and slope of straight line on graph. The value of a and b were found to be 0.071 and 1.242 with high correlation of (R^2) 0.985. value of K_s was calculated from Eq. $a = S_o/K_s \cdot X$ as 0.257 per day. In figure 4 shown a resulted of first order model, value of k_1 was obtained from slope of the line by plotting $(S_o - S)/HRT$ versus S in Eq. (14), it was calculated as 0.507 per day for k_1 with correlation of 0.971.

Figure 3: Determination of kinetic constant (a, b and K_s) for Grau second-order Model

Figure 4: Model Plot of first order removal method to obtain K_1

Summary

In this study the kinetic of UASB and HUASB reactor treating wastewater with COD range of 900-1100 mgL^{-1} has been analyzed using Monod, Grau and first order model. The result of analysis indicated that Grau second order model was most suitable for estimation of kinetic coefficients in UASB and HUASB with values of correlation 0.985. The values of first order model also shown the best correlation coefficients 0.971. Monod model are in good agreement with kinetic coefficient values as reported in the literature but its need to undertake nonlinear regression technique using different error function in determination kinetic coefficient.

Nomenclature

Q = Influent Discharge to Reactor	K_d = endogenous decay coefficient (d^{-1})
V = Reactor Volume	μ = specific growth rate (d^{-1})
S_i = Influent substrates concentration (g COD/L)	$\mu_{\max}$ = maximum specific growth rate (d^{-1})
S_e = Effluent substrates concentration (g COD/L)	K_s = half-velocity constant (g COD/L)
X = total biomass concentration in reactor (g VSS/L)	K = maximum substrate consumption rate per microorganism mass (g COD/g VSS.d)
X_i = Influent biomass concentration (g VSS/L)	Θ = hydraulic retention time (d)
X_e = Influent biomass concentration (g VSS/L)	Θ_c = solids retention time (d)
Y = yielding Coefficient (g VSS/g COD)	

References

- [1] P. Bhunia and M. M. Ghangrekar, "Analysis, evaluation, and optimization of kinetic parameters for performance appraisal and design of UASB reactors.," *Bioresour. Technol.*, vol. 99, no. 7, pp. 2132–40, May 2008.
- [2] A. Castillo, P. Ilares, and J. Mata-Alvarez, "A kinetic study of a combined anaerobic-aerobic system for treatment of domestic sewage," *Water Res.*, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 1742–1747, May 1999.
- [3] M. Işık and D. T. Sponza, "Substrate removal kinetics in an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor decolorising simulated textile wastewater," *Process Biochem.*, vol. 40, no. 3–4, pp. 1189–1198, Mar. 2005.
- [4] B. ayati, *h. ganjidoust, "comparing the efficiency of uaff and uasb with hybrid reactor in treating wood fiber wastewater" *iranian journal of environmental health science & engineering*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2006, pp. 39-4, 2006