Optimization of Single Phase E-Core Hybrid Excitation Flux Switching Machine
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Abstract. Research and development on hybrid excitation flux switching machines (HEFSM) for various applications have been carried out in the last years. The designed HEFSM consist of permanent magnet (PM) and DC field excitation coil (DC-FEC) which is located on the stator core as their main flux sources, while a single piece rotor gives the advantages of robust rotor structure. Since most of the designed HEFSMs utilize three-phase windings, more complicated design and control system are required to run the motor. Thus, a new design of single-phase E-Core HEFSM with several advantages of much simpler converter size and smaller battery package due to small voltage capacity when compared with conventional three-phase system is proposed. Consequently, the size of overall configuration systems will also be reduced resulting in reducing total weight and cost. In this paper, initial performances of 4S-4P, 4S-6P, 4S-8P and 4S-10P E-Core HEFSM topologies are analysed. Since 4S-10P design gives highest torque and power performances, deterministic design optimization approach is conducted to enhance much higher and optimum performances. As conclusion, the optimized E-core HEFSM with 4S-10P topology has achieved maximum torque and power of 208.857Nm and 47.31 kW, respectively.

Introduction

In the mid-1950s, the first concept of flux switching machine (FSM) has been founded and published. A single-phase permanent magnet (PM) FSM with limited angle actuator or more well-known as Laws relay, having 4 stator slots and 4 rotor poles (4S-4P) has been developed [1], and has been extended to a single phase generator with 4 stator slots, and 4 or 6 rotor poles (4S-4/6P) [2]. Over the last ten years, many novel and new FSMs topologies have been proposed for various applications, ranging from low cost domestic appliances, automotive, wind power, aerospace, and traction drive applications, etc. In general, FSMs can be categorized into three groups that are field excitation (FE) FSMs, permanent magnet (PM) FSMs and hybrid excitation (HE) FSMs. Both PMFSMs and FEFSMs have only PM and DC-FEC, respectively as their main flux sources, while HEFSMs combines both PM and DC-FECs [3-4] where the advantages of both PM machines and DC-FEC synchronous machines are combined. As such, HEFSMs have the potential to improve flux weakening performance, high power and torque density, variable flux capabilities, and high efficiency which have been investigated extensively over many years [5-7].

Among various HEFSMs, E-Core HEFSM has extra advantages of non-overlap armature and FEC windings located on the stator, while the PM volume has been reduced to half of PM used in C-Core PMFSM. However, due to complicated winding and high copper losses of three-phase E-Core HEFSM, a simple structure of single-phase E-Core HEFSM is proposed in which the copper losses might be reduced which results in increasing the efficiency. In this paper, initial performances of single-phase 4S-4P, 4S-6P, 4S-8P and 4S-10P E-Core HEFSM topologies are analyzed. Since 4S-10P design gives highest torque and power performances, deterministic design optimization approach is conducted to the initial design in effort to enhance much higher and optimum performances. The performances of optimum 4S-10P HEFSM such as torque versus DC-FEC current density, $J_e$ at various armature coil current densities, $J_a$ and comparisons of torque and power versus speed between initial and improved design are also investigated.
Operating principle of single phase E-Core HEFSM

The operating principle of the E-Core HEFSM is similar with conventional FSM. The flux flows from the stator to the rotor switches its polarity following the rotation of rotor. At one instant, half of rotor poles receive the flux from the stator while another half of rotor poles bring the flux to the stator to make a complete flux cycle. The operating principle and definition of flux switching can be described either by changing flux in the stator or changing flux in the rotor. Since the direction of both PM and FEC fluxes are in the same polarity, both fluxes are combined and move together into the rotor, hence producing more fluxes with a so called hybrid excitation flux [8]. In the proposed single phase E-Core HEFSM, the conceivable number of rotor pole and stator slot is defined by (1)

\[ N_r = N_s (1 \pm \frac{k}{2q}) \]  

(1)

where \( N_r \) is the number of rotor poles, \( N_s \) is the stator slots number, \( q \) is the number of phases and the natural number is defined as \( k \). For the proposed motor, \( q \) is set as single phase, \( N_s \) is set as 4 and \( N_r \) is set as 4, 6, 8 and 10.

Design Parameter and Procedures

Fig. 2 represents the torque and power comparisons of single phase E-Core HEFSM for 4S-4P, 4S-6P, 4S-8P and 4S-10P topologies. While the target torque of 101Nm has been achieved for 4S-10P and 4S-8P designs, the initial power obtained is still far from target power of 41kW. The maximum power obtained for 4S-10P E-Core HEFSM is approximately 26kW hence design parameter and design optimization process demonstrated in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 correspondingly are conducted in effort to meet the target performance. The first step is carried out by updating rotor parameters, D1, D2 and D3 while keeping D6 to D9 constant. Since the torque increases with increasing rotor radius, D1 is firstly updated as the main variable parameter. Fig. 5 shows the torque characteristic at various D1, D2 and D3 in p.u. From the figure, the torque is maximized when radius of rotor is 90mm. Then, the rotor pole depth D2 and rotor pole width D3 are updated while

![Fig. 1: Initial design of 1-phase E-Core HEFSM (a) 4S-4P (b) 4S-6P (c) 4S-8P (d) 4S-10P](#)

![Fig. 2: Comparision of torque and power at various topologies](#)
keeping D1 constant which bring out the highest torque and power capability. As a result, the optimum torque condition is achieved when combination of both D2 and D3 are at 30mm and 17mm, respectively. Using the maximum torque and power determined from combination of D2 and D3, the second step is carried out by updating the PM slot parameter. Based on the optimization process, D4 and D5 will only produce maximum torque and power when D1 is varied. The optimization process is continued by verifying DC-FEC slot parameter D6 and D7 while keeping other parameters constant. The maximum torque is obtained when D6 is 18mm while middle stator tooth, D7 is 33mm as depicted in Fig. 6. Then, by using the combination of D6 and D7 that bring out the optimum torque and power at the third step, the final step is carried out by varying armature coil slot parameters, D8 and D9 with keeping other parameters discussed above constant. The necessary armature coil slot area, $S_a$ is determined by varying armature coil depth, D8 and E-Core stator teeth D9 to accommodate integer number of turns, $N_a$ for armature coil slot. Fig. 7 represents torque performance of single-phase 4S-10P E-Core HEFSM at various stator teeth, D8 and various armature coil depth, D9 in p.u. After one cycle optimization is conducted, the proposed motor with PM volume of 1.3kg has achieved optimum performance of 208.86Nm with maximum power of 49.31kW.

**Performance of Improved Design Single Phase E-Core HEFSM**

The drive performance of the improved design 4S-10P E-Core HEFSM in term of torque against DC-FEC current density, $J_e$ at various armature current density conditions, $J_a$ is observed as shown in Fig. 8. At $J_a$ of 5$A_{rms}/mm^2$, 10$A_{rms}/mm^2$, 15$A_{rms}/mm^2$ and 20$A_{rms}/mm^2$ it is noticeable that, the average torque is increased with increasing $J_e$ until certain value of $J_e$ but starts to decrease when higher $J_e$ is injected to the system. Based on examination of magnetic flux density distribution, it is found that, flux at higher $J_e$ cancels the armature flux thus reducing the torque generation.

Furthermore, comparisons between torque and power versus speed curves of the improved and initial design motor are plotted in Fig. 9. In the figure, the blue and red lines depict the maximum torque curve for the designated operating speed of the improved and initial design E-Core HEFSM for comparison. The maximum torque obtained for the improved and initial designs at base speed of 1096r/min and 967r/min are 209.85Nm and 182.02Nm, respectively. The plot clearly shows that the improved design has better torque characteristic and produced much higher torque at high speed region when compared with the initial design. In addition, the maximum power obtained from the improved design motor is 49.21kW which successfully achieved 20% higher than the target power. The comparison between initial and optimized design that meet the highest torque and power is shown in Fig. 10 while the detail parameters are listed in Table I.
Conclusion

In this paper, design optimization and performance analysis of 4S-10P E-Core HEFSM with 1.3kg PM volume has been presented. Design optimization using deterministic design approach to bring out the optimum performances of the proposed machine has been clearly demonstrated. In conclusion, the torque and power of the optimum design single-phase 4S-10P E-Core HEFSM have been increased approximately 15% and 89% more than the initial design.
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Table 1: Initial and Optimize Design Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Initial Design</th>
<th>Optimize Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D_1</td>
<td>Radius of outer rotor (mm)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_2</td>
<td>Depth of rotor pole (mm)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_3</td>
<td>Width of rotor pole (mm)</td>
<td>15.84</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_4</td>
<td>Width of PM (mm)</td>
<td>13.311</td>
<td>14.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_5</td>
<td>Height of PM (mm)</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_6</td>
<td>Width of FEC slot (mm)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_7</td>
<td>Depth of FEC slot (mm)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_8</td>
<td>Armature slot width (mm)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_9</td>
<td>Armature slot depth (mm)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10: 1-phase E-Core HEFSM design (a) initial design (b) improved design
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