First-Principles Study of Advanced Nuclear Materials: Defect Behavior and Fission Products in U-Si System

Miao He^{1,a}, Shiyu Du^{2,b} and Dejun Wang^{1,c*}

¹School of Electronic Science and Technology, Faculty of Electronic Information and Electrical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, 116024, China

²Engineering Laboratory of Specialty Fibers and Nuclear Energy Materials, Ningbo Institute of Materials Technology and Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo, Zhejiang, 315201, China

^ahemiao@nimte.ac.cn, ^bdushiyu@nimte.ac.cn, ^cdwang121@dlut.edu.cn

Keywords: Uranium silicides, Fission products, Point defect, Nuclear materials

Abstract. Uranium silicides are envisaged as potential nuclear materials for the next generation. U_3Si is featured by the high actinide density and the better thermal conductivity relative to UO_2 . To properly and safely utilize nuclear materials, it is crucial to understand their chemical and physical properties. First-principles in theory is mostly used to analyze the point defect structures for uranium silicides nuclear fuels. The lattice parameters of U_3Si and USi_2 are calculated and the stability of different types of point defects are predicted by their formation energies. The results show that silicon vacancies are more prone to be produced than uranium vacancies in $\beta\text{-USi}_2$ matrix. The most favorable sites of fission products are determined in this work as well. According to the current data, rare earth elements cerium and neodymium are found to be more stable than alkaline earth metals strontium and barium in a given nuclear matrix. It is also determined that in USi_2 crystal lattice fission products tend to be stabilized in uranium substitution sites, while they are likely to form precipitates from the U_3Si matrix. It is expected that this work may provide new insight into the mechanism for structural evolutions of silicide nuclear materials in a reactor as well as to provide valuable clues for fuel designers.

Introduction

Carbon dioxide emission and amount of energy consumption of China have been the first place in the world. Developing clean energy is the way to solve the conflict of economic development and environmental protection. Nuclear energy is one of the important choices. The wide application of nuclear energy lies in the safety of nuclear reactor, which depending on the intrinsic nature of the nuclear materials. Since the Fukushima accident, the safety of traditional nuclear material UO_2 has been questioned. Low thermal conductivity of UO_2 is one of important reasons contribute to its unsafety. Compared to conventional oxide fuels, uranium silicides are envisaged as potential candidate for the next generation. The advanced nuclear materials contains several compounds such as U_3Si , U_3Si_2 , USi , USi_3 , USi_2 , $\text{USi}_{1.88}$ and $\text{U}_3\text{Si}_5(\text{USi}_{1.67})$. U_3Si is featured by the high actinide density (14.7 g/cm^3) and the better thermal conductivity ($30 \text{ W/(m}\cdot\text{K)}$ at 800°C) relative to UO_2 ($3.4 \text{ W/(m}\cdot\text{K)}$ at 800°C) [1]. To properly and safely utilize this advanced nuclear fuel, it is crucial to understand its bulk properties. U_3Si have three different phases, the $\alpha\text{-U}_3\text{Si}$ (space group Fmmm) phase forms below -153°C [2], the $\beta\text{-U}_3\text{Si}$ (space group $I4/\text{mmm}$) phase forms in the temperature range of -153 to 762°C [3], and $\beta\text{-U}_3\text{Si}$ will transform into $\gamma\text{-U}_3\text{Si}$ (space group Pm3m) which has Cu_3Au type structure at temperatures above 780°C [4]. The tetragonal $\alpha\text{-USi}_2$ is of the ThSi_2 type (space group $I4_1/\text{amd}$) and this compound is metastable at moderate temperatures ($< 450^\circ\text{C}$). Sasa *et al.* synthesized $\alpha\text{-USi}_2$ by leaching excess U from $\text{USi}_{1.88}$ in 1: 1 HCl solution and the $\alpha\text{-USi}_2$ undergoes a complete disproportionation to $\text{USi}_{1.88}$ and USi_3 when heated in an evacuated sealed glass tube. A uranium-silicon phase with the AlB_2 -type (space group P6/mmm) structure is $\beta\text{-USi}_2$ [6].

Since both U_3Si and USi_2 compounds may be utilized in a nuclear reactor, it is crucial to understand their serving behaviors. As the fission reaction proceeds, fission products may precipitate or agglomerate to form bubbles or precipitates that induce fuel swelling, which can significantly influence the performance of the fuels. We focus on the stability and impact of fission products Sr, Ba, Nd and Ce in the U_3Si and USi_2 matrices.

Calculation methods

In this work, the DFT (Density functional theory) calculations are performed using plane-wave ultrasoft pseudopotential [8] as implemented in the Cambridge Serial Total-Energy Package (CASTEP) [9]. The exchange and correlation interactions are taken into account with generalized gradient approximation (GGA) as parametrized by Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) [10]. In the calculations, the configurations $6s^2 6p^6 5f^3 6d^1 7s^2$ for uranium and $3s^2 3p^2$ for silicon are adopted to model valence electrons. The SCF convergence threshold is set as 5×10^{-7} eV/atom. Geometry optimizations were carried by the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) [11] method. The convergence thresholds of geometry optimization are chosen to be 5×10^{-6} eV/atom for energy change, and convergence thresholds are 0.01 eV/Å for the maximum force, 0.02 GPa for the maximum stress and 5×10^{-4} for the maximum displacement. The calculations are carried out with the approximation of spin non-polarization. A $4 \times 4 \times 4$ Monkhorst-Pack [13] point mesh and 350 eV cut-off energy for the plane-wave expansion of the electronic basis are chosen. The all calculations are carried out at constant volume. And for modeling point defects with and without fission products in different phases of uranium silicide, 64-atom supercell (consisting of $2 \times 2 \times 1$ primitive unit cells) for β - U_3Si , 32-atom supercell (consisting of $2 \times 2 \times 2$ primitive unit cells) for γ - U_3Si , 48-atom supercell (consisting of $2 \times 2 \times 1$ primitive unit cells) for tetragonal USi_2 (α - USi_2), and 24-atom supercell (consisting of $2 \times 2 \times 2$ primitive unit cells) for hexagonal USi_2 (β - USi_2) are used.

Table 1. Computed and experimental values of lattice constants (a) and bulk modulus (B).

Phase	Space group			a(Å)	c/a	B(GPa)
β - U_3Si	I4/mcm	This work	GGA-PBE	6.030	1.423	119.4
		Yang	GGA-PW91	6.037	1.418	134.1
		Exp.		6.035	1.440	101.8 [17]
γ - U_3Si	pm3m	This work	GGA-PBE	4.285	1	126.7
		Yang	GGA-PW91	4.281	1	133.1
		Exp.		4.346 [18]	1	118.3 [17]
α - USi_2	I4 ₁ /amd	This work	GGA-PBE	3.869	3.714	105.6
		Yang	GGA-PW91	3.867	3.711	116.2
		Exp.		3.922	3.610	
β - USi_2	P6 ₃ /mmm	This work	GGA-PBE	4.024	0.937	101.5
		Yang	GGA-PW91	4.038	0.936	114.8
		Exp.		4.028	0.956	

Bulk Properties

Since the difference in exchange-correlation functionals may have impact on the calculation results, the calculations of bulk properties have been performed as the benchmark. Table 1 lists the lattice constants and bulk modulus calculated with GGA-PBE in our work and GGA-PW91 by Yang et al. [14] as well as the experimental data. Here bulk moduli are predicted by the calculated elastic constants [15]. The lattice parameters obtained for β - U_3Si , γ - U_3Si , α - USi_2 and β - USi_2 are well described by GGA-PBE calculations and the relative error of lattice constants to the experimental values are 0.08%, 1.40%, 1.47% and 0.09%, respectively. But as for the bulk moduli, the calculation results by the GGA-PW91 functional are evidently higher than the corresponding ones with the GGA-PBE method. By comparison with experimental data for U_3Si , calculation

results of bulk moduli with the GGA-PBE exchange correlation potential appear to agree better with the measurements.

The formation energies of the compounds calculated by the DFT method can provide insight into the stability of the compounds at low temperatures. The formation energies of the uranium silicides can be calculated by:

$$E^F(U_pSi_q) = \frac{E(U_pSi_q) - pE(U) - qE(Si)}{p + q} \quad (1)$$

Here $E^F(U_pSi_q)$ is the formation energy of the compounds U_pSi_q . $E(U_pSi_q)$, $E(U)$ and $E(Si)$ are the total energies of U_pSi_q , α -U and silicon of diamond structure type calculated using DFT, respectively. Table 2 gives the calculation results in our work and comparison to the experimental data. O' Hare et al. [19] and Gross et al. [20] have measured the enthalpies of formation for some U-Si compounds using calorimetry. The current results suggest that β - U_3Si are more favorable to be formed than γ - U_3Si . It agrees with the facts that the transition temperature between these phases of U_3Si is as high as 762~780 °C [2] and that β - U_3Si can be stable at low temperatures. Table 2 also shows that both α - USi_2 and β - USi_2 have high negative formation energies, consistent with the fact that those two compound can be synthesized at low temperature (below 400 °C). By comparison to experimental enthalpies of formation at 298K, the calculated formation energies exhibit reasonable accuracy, especially for U_3Si with an error less than 2.5%, though it is worth accentuating that formation energies are calculated at 0 K here. Herein the results also suggest that GGA-PBE approximation may be satisfactory in reproducing bulk properties as well as the energies of U_3Si and USi_2 .

Table 2. Calculated formation energies (eV/atom) of uranium-silicon and experimental enthalpies of formation at 298K

Phase	Formation energies (eV/atom)	Enthalpies of formation (eV/atom)
β - U_3Si	-0.278	-0.271 [19]
γ - U_3Si	-0.251	
α - USi_2	-0.355	-0.451 [20]
β - USi_2	-0.328	

Point Defect

Point defects in nuclear fuel may have significant effect on fuel performances. These defects can provide accommodation sites for fission products and change their diffusion kinetics in nuclear fuel [21]. In this paper, point defects such as uranium/silicon vacancies and uranium/silicon interstitials are investigated. To study the stability of various defects, we calculate their formation energies [22]. The formation energies of different point defect are calculated by the following expressions:

Formation energy of point defects

$$E_{V_x I_x}^F = E^{N+1} - E^N \pm E_x \quad (2)$$

where, $E_{V_x}^F$ and $E_{I_x}^F$ are the formation energy of one vacancy x (x = uranium or silicon) and one interstitial x of x, respectively. E^{N-1} and E^{N+1} are the energies of a uranium silicide supercell containing vacancy x and interstitial x, respectively. E^N is the energy of the uranium silicide supercell without defects; E_x is the energy of an "x" in its reference state (α -U crystal or Si of diamond structure type). The energies calculated here are all obtained by the GGA-PBE approximation.

The formation energies for each type of defects in U_3Si and USi_2 are given in Table 3. Silicon vacancy and uranium vacancy in β - U_3Si , γ - U_3Si , α - USi_2 and β - USi_2 are all termed as Vac Si and Vac U, respectively. As for interstitial defects, the interstitial structures in different lattices are shown in Fig. 1a~Fig. 1d with the locations of interstitial defects indicated. Frenkel pairs which are

formed by an interstitial atom and a vacancy of the same kind are also investigated. The vacancy and interstitial which compose Frenkel pairs are sited in one supercell and the energies of Frenkel pairs are listed in the column of FPX (X=U, Si) in Table 3.

Fig. 1 Schematic of some different types of defects in uranium-silicon. The big blue and small yellow filled circles are uranium and silicon atoms, respectively. The blue squares are interstitial sites. The four plots are for (a) interstitial site in β - U_3Si (b) interstitial site in γ - U_3Si (c) interstitial site in α - USi_2 (d) interstitial site near a U coordinate in β - USi_2 .

Table 3. Formation energies (eV) of different point defects in different matrices.

Formation energy (eV)	Vac U	Vac Si	Int U	Int Si
β - U_3Si		3.75	3.83	2.65
γ - U_3Si	2.17	3.58	3.94	3.50
α - USi_2	3.74	3.72	2.91	4.93
β - USi_2	3.38	1.57	2.69	2.91

From the table it can be seen that the lowest formation energies belong to the silicon vacancies in β - USi_2 , suggesting a tendency of formation of silicon vacancies in hypo-stoichiometric USi_{2-x} . Thus, the current calculation results can partially explain the reason that non-stoichiometric U_3Si_5 is substantially the supercell of β - USi_2 (U_3Si_6) with silicon vacancies. In U_3Si (both β - U_3Si and γ - U_3Si) and α - USi_2 supercells, uranium vacancies show lower formation energies than other defects. This indicates that U_3Si and α - USi_2 may generate more uranium vacancies than other defects under the same condition. With respect to the interstitial defects, it is found that silicon interstitials are more stable than uranium interstitials in U_3Si which may be caused by the smaller size of Si atom. On the contrary, uranium interstitials have a larger stability than silicon interstitials in USi_2 (both α - USi_2 and β - USi_2), showing the accommodation of uranium atom in the lattice of USi_2 is more favored than that of U_3Si . As for the Frenkel defect pairs, since they are constituted of both vacancies and interstitial defects and require more energies to form than other types of defects, one can readily find that all Frenkel defects have large formation energies which are above 5 eV in all matrices except for U of α - USi_2 and Si of β - USi_2 . Interestingly, the size of the supercells of γ - U_3Si and β - USi_2 adopted in this work are smaller than β - U_3Si and α - USi_2 , respectively. This strongly suggests that the defects of silicon atoms in the γ - U_3Si and β - USi_2 matrices may have a shorter correlation length than β - U_3Si and α - USi_2 .

Fission Products

In this section, the stabilities of fission products in different uranium silicide fuels are studied. Various fission products can be generated during a nuclear reaction among which four representative fission products: Sr, Ba, Nd and Ce are chosen in this work. Since the fission products may also affect the uranium silicide crystal structures, the relative volume variation of the structures caused by fission products is predicted. Here, three different sites are considered: 1. The uranium substitution sites in uranium silicide crystals (as mentioned there are two different uranium sites in β - U_3Si); 2. The silicon substitution sites; 3. The interstitial sites as show in Fig. 2b~e.

Incorporation energies provide the information on the stability of fission products (x) in the defective nuclear fuel matrix. In this work, incorporation energies of different kinds of fission products in different matrices are studied, which are predicted as energy needed to locate a dissolved atom into a pre-existing point defects or an interstitial site, i.e.:

$$E_x^{Inc} = E_x^{Tot} - E^{Tot} - E_x \quad (3)$$

Here E_x^{Tot} is the energy of one uranium silicide supercell with a fission product, E^{Tot} is the energy of the uranium silicide supercell with a defect, and E_x is the energy of the dissolved atom (Sr, Ba, Nd or Ce) in its reference state.

Table 4. Incorporation energies (eV) of strontium, barium, cerium and neodymium at the uranium and silicon substitution sites and interstitial site (Site U, Site Si, Site C and Site Int) in different matrices.

Incorporation energies (eV)		Sr	Ba	Ce	Nd
β - U_3Si	Site U	0.76	-0.78	-0.15	-2.75
	Site Si	1.34	1.17	0.73	-1.67
	Site Int	7.92	9.17	4.86	3.06
γ - U_3Si	Site U	0.89	-0.77	-1.68	-2.43
	Site Si	1.31	1.56	-1.52	-1.86
	Site Int	7.05	6.44	3.77	2.29
α - USi_2	Site U	-5.13	-4.19	-7.02	-7.25
	Site Si	5.76	5.83	-0.51	-3.54
	Site Int	8.18	9.35	3.91	2.41
β - USi_2	Site U	-4.21	-1.20	-3.85	-4.43
	Site Si	4.23	6.41	1.39	-2.54
	Site Int	8.91	9.82	4.08	2.18

The calculation results are displayed in Table 4. One can see that the sequence of stability can be queued as (Site U) > (Site Si) > (Site Int) when a fission products and fuel matrix are given. It means that fission products always prefer to be accommodated by the U sites due to the larger space a uranium vacancy provides. Similar to uranium silicide, some fission products also have a high tendency to occupy the U sites instead of the C sites, N sites and O sites in UC, UN and UO_2 matrices, respectively [12].

In terms of different fission products, for all nuclear fuel matrices, rare earth elements are more stably dissolved than alkaline earth metals. Nd is the most stable and Ba is always the most unstable in all three kinds of solution sites with the incorporation energies following $E_{Nd}^{inc} < E_{Ce}^{inc} < E_{Sr}^{inc} < E_{Ba}^{inc}$. The computational results are similar to Bévilion et al.'s work [26]. They have calculated incorporation energies of the fission products in UC matrix and concluded that the incorporation energies of fission products follow $E_{Nd}^{inc} < E_{Ce}^{inc} < E_{Ba}^{inc}$. According to the results, the incorporation energies of all fission products in U site are always negative in the USi_2 matrix, indicating the stability of these fission products in the U site of USi_2 . As to the U_3Si matrix, only incorporation energies of rare earth elements in U site are negative, which probably results from the resemblance of the valence electron configuration between Ce/Nd and uranium. However, they are still less stable than their counterpart in USi_2 matrix. As an example, the difference of incorporation energies

is as high as 6.87 eV between β -U₃Si and α -USi₂ for Ce at U site; the difference also exceeds 2 eV between γ -U₃Si and β -USi₂ for Nd. Hence, fission products prefer to stay in the U sites and are more stable at U site in the USi₂ matrix, while, those in high uranium density U₃Si matrix have a higher propensity to form precipitates which will induce fuel particle swelling, as confirmed by experiments [28]. For example, Finlay et al. found that some fuel candidates with high uranium density such as U₃Si exhibit high swelling rates even at low and medium fission densities while the lower density compounds like USi show swelling rates which were significantly reduced and are regarded as stable and acceptable.

Conclusion

In this work, the bulk properties, point defects and incorporation energies of fission products in different uranium silicide fuel matrices are investigated using the generalized gradient approximation in the framework of density functional theory. The calculated lattice constant and bulk modulus agree well with the experimental data, which shows that the DFT-GGA method is satisfactory to model the behavior of U₃Si and USi₂ in the atomic scale. In all matrices, rare earth elements Ce and Nd are more stable than alkaline earth metals Sr and Ba. The stability is determined to be dependent on the atomic size of the fission products. Fission products in U₃Si matrix are more likely to form precipitates than USi₂. This paper is written in an attempt to help clarify the serving behaviors of uranium silicides nuclear materials. In fact, there is still a much further work required to study uranium silicides.

References

- [1] J. Bates, High Temperature Thermal Conductivity of Round Robin Uranium Dioxide, US Atomic Energy Commission, 1970.
- [2] K. Remschnig, T. Le Bihan, H. Noel, P. Reul, Structural chemistry and magnetic behavior of binary uranium silicides, *Solid State Chem.* 97 (1992) 391.
- [3] G. Kimmel, B. Sharon, M. Ruck, Structure and phase stability of uranium--silicon U₃Si at low temperatures, *Acta Crystallogr. B* 36 (1980) 1937.
- [4] P. Villars, L. D. Calvert, Pearson, Handbook of Crystallographic Data for Intermetallic Phases, ASM, Materials Park, OH, 1991.
- [5] S. F. Pugh, Swelling in alpha uranium due to irradiation, *J. Nucl. Mater.* 4 (1961) 177-199.
- [6] B. T. Massalski, Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams, Materials Park, (1990) 1485.
- [7] C. Jegou, S. Fumet, J. F. Lucchini, C. Corbel, Identification of the mechanism limiting the alteration of rod spent fuel segments in aerated carbonated groundwater, *J. Nucl. Mater. J. Nucl. Mater.* 332 (2004) 144-156.
- [8] D. Vanderbilt, Soft self-consistent pseudopotentials in a generalized eigenvalue formalism, *Phys. Rev. B* 41 (1990) 7892-7895.
- [9] M. D. Segall, J. D. L. Philip, M. J. Probert, C. J. First-principles simulation: ideas, illustrations and the CASTEP code, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 14 (2002) 2717.
- [10] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996) 3865-3868.
- [11] T. H. Fischer and J. Almlof, General methods for geometry and wave function optimization, *J. Phys. Chem.* 96 (1992) 9768.
- [12] M. Freyss, First-principles study of uranium carbide: Accommodation of point defects and of helium, xenon, and oxygen impurities, *Phys. Rev. B*, 81 (2010) 014101.

- [13] H. J. Monkhorst, J. D. Pack, Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations, *Phys. Rev. B*, 13 (1976) 5188–5192.
- [14] J. Yang, J. P. Long, L. J. Yang, D. M. Li, First-principles investigations of the physical properties of binary uranium silicide alloys, *J. Nucl. Mater.* 443 (2013) 195.
- [15] M. J. Mehl, B. M. Klein, and D. A. Papaconstantopoulos, in *Intermetallic Compounds: Principles and Practice*. Westbrook and R. L. Fleischer, 1965.
- [16] M. Jafari, N. Zarifi, M. Nobakhti, A. Jahandoost, Pseudopotential calculation of the bulk modulus and phonon dispersion of the bcc and hcp structures of titanium, *Phys. Scr.* 83 (2011) 065603
- [17] M. Rosen, Y. Gefen, G. Kimmel, A. Halwany, Transformation twins and the elastic properties of U3Si at low temperatures *Phil. Mag.* 28 (1973) 1007.
- [18] P. L. Blum, G. Silvestre, H. Vaugoyeau, On the binary system uranium-uranium dioxide, *Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci.* 260 (1965) 5538.
- [19] P. A. G. O'Hare et al. *Thermodynamics of Nuclear Materials*, IAEA, 2 (1965) 439–453.
- [20] P. Gross, C. Hayman, H. Clayton, *Thermodynamics of Nuclear Materials*, IAEA, (1962) 653–665.
- [21] T. Petit, C. Lemaignan, F. Jollet, B. Bigot, Point defects in uranium dioxide *Phil. Mag. B*, 77(1998) 779.
- [22] H. Vaugoyeau, L. Lombard, J. Morlevat, Contribution to the study of the equilibrium diagram uranium silicon, *J. Nucl. Mater.* 39 (1971) 323–329.
- [23] M. Freyss, Point defects in uranium dioxide: an ab initio pseudopotential approach in the generalized gradient approximation, *J. Nucl. Mater.* 247(2005) 44-51.
- [24] T. Wang, N. Qiu, X. Wen, Y. First-principles investigations on the electronic structures of U₃Si₂, *J. Nucl. Mater.* 469 (2016) 194.
- [25] E. Bevilion, R. Duches, M. Bouchin and Roland Dubourg, First-principles study of the stability of fission products in uranium nitride carbide, *J. Nucl. Mater.* 426 (2012) 189.
- [26] G. Brillant, A. Pasturel, Study of Ba and Zr stability in UO_{2±x} by density functional calculations, *Phys. Rev. B*, 77 (2008) 184110.
- [27] F. Gupta, G. Brillant, A. Pasturel, Correlation effects and energetics of point defects in uranium dioxide: a first principle investigation, *Philos. Mag.* 87 (2007) 2561.
- [28] M. R. Friday, Irradiation behaviour of uranium silicide compounds, *J. Nucl. Mater.* 325 (2004) 118–122.