

Effect of Heat Treatment on Tribological Characteristics of CuAl10Ni5Fe4 Nickel Aluminum Bronze

MUHAMMAD Kamran Yaseen^{a*}, MUHAMMAD Mansoor^b,
HAIDER Ali Ansari^c, SAJAWAL Hussain^d and SAHEED Khan^e

Institute of Industrial Control Systems, P.O. Box 1398, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

^akamran.met@gmail.com, ^bmalik01677@yahoo.com, ^chaider_ansari@live.com,
^dsajawalhussain09@gmail.com, ^eshaheed_pe@hotmail.com

Keywords: Aluminum Bronze, Dry Wear, Alpha Phase, Kappa, Beta, Adhesive Wear, Coefficient of Friction, Coefficient of Wear.

Abstract. Al bronzes are used for relatively high-wear applications, besides appreciable mechanical and corrosion properties. In present work, the effect of different heat treatment processes on the tribological characteristics of Ni-Al bronze has been studied. The Ni-Al bronze samples taken from hot-forged bar, were heated at 930 °C and cooled down to room temperature. The effects of cooling rate on microstructure were studied and co-related with tribological characteristics. The formation and nucleation of various phases due to the thermal treatments were observed using optical microscopy. The wear behavior was studied using ball-on-disk arrangement with 100Cr6 ball and Ni-Al bronze sample as disk. The characteristics and mechanism of wear tracks morphology were studied using scanning electron microscope. It was observed that the furnace cooled sample exhibited better tribological characteristics as compared to the samples treated at different parameters.

Introduction

Copper alloys containing 9-12% aluminum and up to 6% of iron and nickel each, represent an important group of commercial aluminum bronzes. They also contain a small amount of manganese. Along with high strength, superior aesthetics and good damping capacity (twice than that of steel), these alloys exhibit good resistance to corrosion and wear, which make them one of the most useful engineering materials in their class. Al-bronzes find their widespread applications in aerospace, marine, architecture and oil sectors, which include landing gear bushes and bearings of aircrafts, non-sparking tools in oils and gas industries and pleasing facades in architecture, etc. [1].

CuAl10Ni5Fe4 aluminum bronze has a two-phase microstructure; poor formability α phase and excellent hot formability β phase. The alloy has poor formability at room temperature due to rapid work hardening but shows good hot formability in $\alpha+\beta$ region. The alloy could be hot-worked between 700 to 900 °C [2, 3]. The α phase is a copper rich stable solid solution having FCC structure which gives ductility to the alloy, whereas the β phase is an intermediate solid solution having BCC structure, which undergoes martensitic transformation upon rapid cooling from 1010 to 700 °C. This martensitic β phase has HCP structure and seems black upon etching. Tempering of β results in dissociation of martensitic β into very fine mass of α and κ_{III} . In addition to α and β and β' phases, various other inter-metallic kappa phases (κ) formed in microstructure at various temperatures. These kappa inter-metallic phases are κ_I , κ_{II} , κ_{III} , κ_{IV} and κ_V with different chemical compositions, shapes and properties. The κ_I , κ_{II} and κ_{IV} phases are based on Fe_3Cl crystal structure with DO_3 space group, whereas, κ_{III} and κ_V are based on NiAl crystal structure with B2 space group. The morphology each phase in the alloy is shown schematically in Fig. 1 [4-7].

Depending upon the application, CuAl10Ni5Fe4 alloy may undergo various heat treatments including annealing, quenching from $\alpha+\beta$ region or aging to acquire different levels of hardness and microstructures. The relative quantities of various phases and grain size corresponding to specific heat treatment parameters can influence the wear properties of the alloy. Unfortunately, the heat treatment parameters which gives best wear properties have not been determined yet. In current

study, the hot forged (at 950 °C) specimens were heated to 930 °C and subjected to different cooling cycle namely furnace cooling, air cooling, water quenching and aging at 300 °C. The microstructure, relative percentages of α and β phases and hardness of samples including individual phases were studied and correlated with wear test results.

The severity of wear of samples is described by their wear rate and coefficient of friction. The relationship of parameters and results of wear testing were described by coefficient of wear in Archard equation.

$$Q = KW/H. \quad (1)$$

Here, 'Q' is wear rate expressed as volume loss per unit sliding distance, 'W' is applied load in newtons (N), 'H' is hardness of sample on the Brinell scale (HB) and 'K' is wear coefficient. 'K' is an integral parameter in this equation as it represents the severity of wear and is always less than unity. It involves all basic parameters which effect severity of wear [10].




Fig. 1. Schematic representations of the various phases in a type 80-10-5-5 cast aluminum bronze.

Experimental

Four, disc shape specimens (8 mm thick), were sectioned in transverse direction from a hot-forged bar having 30 mm diameter and were used during the present work. The aluminum bronze (CuAl10Ni5Fe4, UNS C63200) had the nominal composition of 9.5% Al, 5.2% Ni and 4.9% Fe. The discs were heated in electric furnace at 930 °C for 30 minute. 1st disk was allowed to cool in the furnace, 2nd disk was air cooled and 3rd disk was quenched in water followed by aging at 300 °C. 4th disk was not heat treated and used in hot-forged condition (hot forged at 950 °C followed by air cool) in further experiments. All the disks were ground and polished up to 0.05 μ m using alumina paste, etched in Kroll's reagent and observed using optical microscope. Grain size and relative percentage of individual phases were also determined using Olympus-Stream Motion software. The polished disks were subjected to Brinell hardness testing and micro-Vickers hardness testing to determine the hardness of bulk material and individual phases present in the microstructure, respectively. The surface roughness of polished samples was measured with surface profilometer. The weight of disks was measured using electronic balance, having an accuracy of up to four decimals, before and after the test. The tribological characteristics of the samples were determined with ball-on-disk setup using tribometer of CSM Instruments. A ball of 100Cr6 (AISI 51200) bearing steel, having 5 mm diameter was used. The parameters of all test performed are given in Table 1. Furthermore, the wear tracks of all samples were observed using stereo microscope, optical microscope and scanning electron microscope. The resulting features were correlated with the

microstructure of samples and their corresponding mechanism of wear. The wear rate was determined by weight loss method using following formula:

$$\text{Wear rate} = \frac{V}{LF} \quad (2)$$

where, 'V' indicates the volume of wear debris produced in mm³, 'L' is the sliding distance in meters, and 'F' is the normal load applied during test in newtons (N). The results were discussed using wear rate, coefficient of friction and wear coefficient 'K' of Archard equation.

Table 1. Parameters of Wear Test.

Parameters	Values
Normal Load	10 N
Sliding Distance	50 m
Travelling Speed	10 cm/s
Temperature	26 °C

Results and Discussion

Microstructure and Hardness. The polished samples were etched with Kroll's reagent and observed using optical microscope. The microstructures of all samples: hot forged, furnace cooled, air cooled, quenched and aged at 300 °C, are given in Fig. 2. The microstructure of hot forged samples consisted of β + κ matrix having areas of α . The micro hardness of the matrix was about 290 HV. Due to very fine grain size and non-uniform distribution of α and β , their grain size and area fraction could not be determined. The microstructure of furnace cooled sample from 930 °C contained α grains having 35 μm average grain size with κ_{II} precipitates, and non-equilibrium needle like β' phase. The micro hardness of α phase was 130 HV as κ_{II} precipitates were present within the α -grains. The micro-hardness of dissociated β was 190 HV with area fraction of about 37%. The sample cooled in air from 930 °C was comprised of white grains of α phase having 32 μm average grain size and fine κ_{II} precipitates. Due to relatively faster cooling than the furnace cooled sample, the proportion of the β' was high at the expense of the proportion of α phase. Moreover, a small fraction of β phase was also retained in the microstructure which was darker in color as compared to β' phase. The micro-hardness of α phase was 110 HV with area fraction of almost 61%. The micro-hardness of β' was 210 HV with area fraction of 39% of the microstructure. The quenched and aged sample had white α grains with κ_{II} phase near grain boundaries, martensitic β' phase with κ_{IV} precipitates which provided hardness to the material. The micro-hardness of phase α and β' were 140 HV and 410 HV, respectively. The α -phase comprised almost 43% area fraction of the micro-structure and rest was β' . The grain size, area percentage and hardness of samples are given in Table 2, and Table 3.

Table 2. Grain Size and Relative percentage of phases

Sample	Grain Size of α [μm]	Percentage of α	Percentage of β
Hot forged	-	-	-
Furnace cooled	35	63	37
Air cooled	32	61	39
Quenched + Aged at 300 °C	29	43	57

Wear Testing. The results of tribological measurements are given in Fig. 3. The surface roughness (R_A value) of all the test specimens was in the range of $0.063 \pm 0.015 \mu\text{m}$. The values of coefficient of friction, weight loss, wear rate and coefficient of wear along with parameters are given in Table 4 and graphical of wear test results are given in Fig. 3.




Fig. 2. Micrographs of Al-Bronze (a) hot forged at 950 °C, (b) furnace cooled, (c) air cooled and (d) quenched and aged at 300 °C.




Fig. 3. Wear behavior of various test specimens showing coefficient of friction (μ) against time and sliding distance.

Table 3. Hardness of Bulk material and individual phases.

Sample	Bulk Hardness (HB)	Micro Hardness of α (HV 25 gf)	Micro hardness of β' (HV 25gf)
Hot forged	211	-	290
Furnace cooled	184	130	190
Air cooled	221	110	210
Quenched + Aged at 300 °C	311	140	410

Table 4. Results of Wear testing of samples.

Sample	Co. of Friction (μ)	Weight loss (g)	Volume loss (mm^3)	Wear Rate ($\text{mm}^3\text{N}^{-1}\text{m}^{-1}$)	K
Hot Forged	0.27	0.0026	0.314	0.0012545	2.65×10^{-2}
Furnace Cooled	0.056	0.0010	0.121	0.0004825	8.88×10^{-3}
Air Cooled	0.08	0.0028	0.338	0.0013510	2.99×10^{-2}
Quenched + Aged at 300 °C	0.13	0.0013	0.157	0.0006273	1.95×10^{-2}




Fig. 4. Graphical presentation of (a) wear rate and (b) 'K', wear coefficient of samples.

Wear rate of all samples was determined according to ASTM G-99 04 [11]. Results obtained by specific set of parameters mentioned earlier revealed that among four samples; furnace cooled sample showed best tribological characteristics.

Scanning Electron Microscopy. Wear scar of each sample was studied using scanning electron microscope, Fig. 4. The different heat treatments given to the alloy had influenced the wear mechanisms being operative during the course of test. Very similar wear features were observed associated the wear scar of air cooled and as forged samples. Deep ploughing marks along with patches of adherent material were present on scars of both types of heat treated samples (Fig.4a, c). The edges of adherent material were cracked as can be seen in high magnification images (Fig.4 b, d). The mechanism of wear in both samples could be related to their microstructure. As both samples comprise appreciable amount of soft and easily deformable FCC α phase, which could be the cause of ploughing marks in wear scars of both samples. Hard β phase and κ precipitates become embedded in soft α phase and become adherent with the wear track. The cracking of edges of the adherent material might be due to strain hardening of embedded material in α matrix.

In case of quenched and aged heat treated sample, the wear scar was free of ploughing marks, instead very fine size particles were present throughout in the wear scar (Fig. 4e). At higher magnification, these material particles were found adherent to the surface of the materials, the edges of which were observed disintegrated (Fig.4f). Low percentage of α phase and very hard β' along with κ_{IV} are the cause of this mechanism of wear in quenched and aged sample. The hard particles of β' could not fully embedded in less amount of α phase and dispersed throughout the wear scar. Contrarily to quenched and aged samples, furnace cooled sample showed totally different mechanism as ploughing marks were present at the wear scar with the absence of any free particle or patch of loosely bound adherent material. Instead, layers of uniformly adherent material were observed at high magnification with smooth edges. No sign of strain hardening was observed in furnace cooled samples. This gross change in wear mechanism could be related to its microstructure in which almost 67% soft FCC α phase was present. On the other hand, the amount of β' phase and absence of martensitic β phase promoted adhesion of material. The relatively hard phase β' and κ precipitates become completely embedded in the α matrix and showed least resistance against sliding motion, hence resulting in minimum coefficient of friction and wear rate.




Fig. 4. SEM micrographs of wear tracks of samples showing wear mechanisms (a, b) hot forged (c, d) air cooled (e, f) quenched and aged at 300 °C and (g, h) furnace cooled at different magnifications.

The wear scar of furnace cooled sample was featured with ploughing marks (Fig. 4 g, h). On the contrary to other heat treated samples, the adherent patches of materials were not found in this case. This indicates that there was a gross change in the wear mechanism during the wear test of furnace cooled sample.

It is evident from the above results that changes in microstructure through controlled heat treatment has a pronounced effect on the wear characteristics of the aluminum bronze. The wear behavior which is characterized by coefficient of friction, wear rate and coefficient of wear could be related to the amount of phases present, their grain size and hardness of individual constituent. The

bulk hardness alone is not a criterion to determine wear behavior of Al-bronze, rather specific amount of individual phases and their grain size along with bulk hardness give a combined response against wear behavior.

Conclusions

- The thermal treatments have significant influence on the microstructure of the alloy in which the volume fraction of α phase decreased with increasing the cooling rate.
- In addition to bulk hardness, relative amount and their grain size have substantial effect on wear mechanisms and wear characteristics of the alloy.
- Hot worked followed by furnace cool sample having 211 HB hardness with 43% α phase and 37% β' phase showed relatively better tribological properties.

References

- [1] I. Richardson, Guide to Nickel Aluminium Bronze for Engineers, Copper Development Association, 2016.
- [2] ASM Handbook Volume, 2: properties and selection: nonferrous alloys and special-purpose materials, ASM international, (1990) 889-896.
- [3] J.R. Davis, Copper and copper alloys, ASM international, 2001.
- [4] H. Meigh, Cast and wrought aluminium bronzes: properties, processes and structure, Institute of Materials, 1 Carlton House Terrace, London, SW 1 Y 5 DB, UK, 2000. 404, (2000).
- [5] M. Cook, E. Davis, W. Fentiman, Observations on the Structure and properties of Wrought Copper-Aluminium-Nickel-Iron Alloys, J. Inst. Met., 80 (1951) 419-429.
- [6] P. Brezina, Heat treatment of complex aluminium bronzes, Internat. Met. Rev., 27 (1982) 77-120.
- [7] F. Hasan, A. Jahanafrooz, G. Lorimer, N. Ridley, The morphology, crystallography, and chemistry of phases in as-cast nickel-aluminum bronze, Metall. Trans. A, 13 (1982) 1337-1345.
- [8] M.L.A. Brona, Influence of Heat Treatment on the Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Aluminium Bronze, Mat. Technol., 48 (2014) 599-604.
- [9] I. Hutchings, Tribology: Friction and Wear of Engineering Materials (Edward Arnold, London, 1992), Google Scholar, 20.
- [10] Standard Test Method for Wear Testing with Pin-on-Disk Apparatus in: ASTM Standard G99 - 04, ASM, USA, 2004.